UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,424	03/02/2006	Ulrich Riebel	032301.445	9795
	7590 10/15/200 BRELL & RUSSELL		EXAMINER	
SUITE 3100, P	ROMENADE II		RUMP, RICHARD M	
1230 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309-3592			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			4181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/570,424	RIEBEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard M. Rump	4181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 25-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-50 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 51-52 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 25-52 are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 March 2006 is/are: a	rn from consideration. election requirement.	o by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection. Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1: 12/11/2006 2: 04/14/2006 3: 03/02/200	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6. 6) Other:	nte			



Application No.

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application

Claims 1-24 have been cancelled by applicant. Claims 25-52 are subject to a restriction. Oral election was made on 03 September 2008, claims 25-50 have been withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11 December 2006, 14 April 2006, and 02 March 2006 are acknowledged and the references listed thereon have been considered by the examiner on the attached copy of the PTO-1449 form.

Priority

Acknowledgement is made of applicant's request for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §119(a)-(d). Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. This application was examined with the effective filing date of 04 September 2003.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Application/Control Number: 10/570,424

Art Unit: 4181

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claims 25-50, drawn to a method for the production of carbon black or other flame.
- Group II, claim 51-52, drawn to a compound of an unclassified, untreated carbon black.

The inventions listed as Groups I and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: In this case it appears applicant's special technical feature is a gas boundary layer as disclosed in claims 1 and 41 involved in the production of a carbon black. However, this does not appear to be an inventive concept since US 3235334 (hereinafter referred to as '334) discloses a carbon black production method that involves enveloping the carbon black during its production by a protective gas sheath and a device for carrying said methodology out.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

During a telephone conversation with Robert Weilacher on 03 September 2008 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 51-52. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in

Application/Control Number: 10/570,424

Art Unit: 4181

replying to this Office action. Claims 24-50 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria

Application/Control Number: 10/570,424

Art Unit: 4181

for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 51 the limitation "untreated and unclassified" is indefinite. The metes and bounds of this limitation are indefinite. Further the claim is indefinite as to how an "untreated and unclassified" carbon black may have a pH, since a pH would require some type of aqueous solution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hisashi *et al.* (hereinafter referred to as Hisashi, US Patent No. 6087434).

Regarding independent claim 51, Hisashi discloses a carbon black with a grit content of not more than 10 ppm and an ash residue not more than 0.1% by weight (column 5 lines 19-23). A 5 micron sieve opening is used to determine the grit content (column 5 line 53) which is 1 ppm, and a PAH residue of 30 ppm (Table 7). The pH is between 2 and 10 (column 2 line 31). In the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a prima facie case of obviousness exists (MPEP 2144.05).

Regarding dependant claim 52, Hisashi discloses that the carbon black can be used as a printer toner (abstract).

Citation of Relevant Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 4181

a) US 20050014863 (Babler) – Discloses a carbon black which can be used in semiconductor substrates.

b) US 6057387 (Mahmud) – Which discloses an aggregate composition of carbon black and silicon phases which has a low ash content.

Conclusion

Claims 51-52 have been rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard M. Rump whose telephone number is (571)270-5848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 AM-5:00 PM (-5 GMT).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (570)272-0579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/570,424 Page 8

Art Unit: 4181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. M. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 4181

/Jessica L. Ward/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793